

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,835	09/29/2003	Jose S. Niell	P17022	4475	
62442 MCDONALD	7590 04/02/2007 HOPKINS CO., LPA	EXAMINER			
c/o INTELLEVATE			FERNANDEZ RIVAS, OMAR F		
P.O. BOX 520 MINNEAPOL	50 IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2129		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/674,835	NIELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Omar F. Fernández Rivas	2129		

= or or or ming or an Appear Error	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Omar F. Fernández Rivas	2129			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF					
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evidence with 37 Cl	ice, which		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later. Ir		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing the three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the data of		
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	ΓE below);			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	w); ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	•		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	ord ording.			
1. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	:		·		
S. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20-22</u> would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-22,33,35,37,46 and 48</u> .	• •				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under annea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a		
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.		
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
3. Other: See Continuation Sheet.			1. 1		
<i>√</i> D	SI IDERVIS	DAVID VINCENT 3	129/07 MINER		
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	SUFERIN		··		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment on claims 14, 33, 37, 48, 50 and 58 raise issues that would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner on the previous Office Actions.

Continuation of 13. Other: The arguments presented by the Applicant regarding the rejection of claims 14-22, 33, 35, 37 and 48-76 under 35 USC 101 has been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 101 would be withdrawn in the next Office Action.